

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,063	(07/09/2003	Charles L. Haley	16356.818 (DC-05199)	9537
27683	7590	05/13/2005		EXAM	INER
	AYNES AND BOONE, LLP MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100 DALEY, CH			DALEY, CHRISTO	PHER ANTHONY
DALLAS, T					PAPER NUMBER
				2111	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/616,063	HALEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher A. Daley	2111			
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati					
Period for I						
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after the valent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, matton. s, a reply within the statutory minimum or period will apply and will expire SIX (6) y statute, cause the application to become	ny a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed or	n 08 July 2003.				
· ·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
· —	nce this application is in condition for a		natters, prosecution as to the merits is			
cl	osed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositior	of Claims					
·	laim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation				
•) Of the above claim(s) is/are w					
	laim(s) is/are allowed.					
• =	laim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	laim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8)□ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	•			
Application	ı Papers					
9)□ Th	e specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
	e drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is/a		ojected to by the Examiner.			
A	oplicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in ab-	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
R	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the draw	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d			
11) 🗌 Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
•	knowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
•	All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received				
	 Certified copies of the priority doc 					
	Copies of the certified copies of the					
	application from the International	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•			
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action fo	•	not received.			
		·	•			
Attach						
Attachment(s) f References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interv	ew Summary (PTO-413)			
.,			No(s)/Mail Date			
· 	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-stion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/616,063 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 21 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cho et al (US5798951) hereinafter Cho in view of. Teshima et al (US6760851) hereinafter Teshima
- 4. As to claim 1, Cho discloses a method of undocking an information handling system (IHS), which is docked to a docking device,: (Cho teaches of an HIS device, notebook computer 101 in figure 1, with docking device docking station 102)

 Cho does not disclose sensing, by the IHS, when the display moves from the open position to the closed position; (However, Teshima teaches of a information handling system 10 of figure 1, comprising a.display 12, which on closure, closes button 17 that send a signal to the system CPU 21 of figure 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Teshima and Cho as this provides a comprehensive means of system power savings for all peripheral devices, including the display unit, COL. 8, lines 30 33).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,063 Page 3

Art Unit: 2111

and

Cho discloses initiating, by the IHS, an undocking. (Cho teaches of information handling system in figure 1, comprising of a CPU 20, and a docking station interface 103, comprising event generation logic and insertion/removal logic that controls the docking and undocking of the information handling system, Col. 3, lines 43 53. Said interface is coupled to display 60 through graphic controller 40. When the display of Teshima is closed, this would trigger power saving event that cause docking station interface 103 to request an undocking event as detailed in COL. 5, line 5 – COL. 6, line 13).

- 5. As to claim 2, Cho discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the IHS includes an operating system, the method further including communicating the undocking request to the operating system. (Cho teaches of the presence of an applet of the operating system to make said request, COL. 4, lines 53 56)
- 6. As to claims 3 and 19, Cho discloses the method and HIS, wherein the docking device includes an eject lever, the method further comprising activating the eject lever to eject the IHS from the docking device subsequent to the initiating of the undock request. (Cho teaches of the docking device 41 in figure 1 comprising a lever 45 to initiate the undocking request, COL. 4, lines 57 67).

7. As to claims 4 and 15, Cho does not explicitly disclose the method and IHS wherein the docking device is a port replicator. (However, Cho teaches that the docking device 41 of figure 1 is a an expansion unit, which is well known in the art to comprise port replicators, Col. 11, lines 24 – 26).

Page 4

- 8. As to claims 5 and 14, Cho discloses the method and HIS wherein the docking device is a docking station. (Cho teaches that the docking device 41 of figure 1 is a docking station, COL. 1, lines 20 25)
- 9. As to claim 6, Cho does not explicitly disclose the method of claim 1 wherein the IHS includes BIOS software, which monitors the display to determine when the display is moved from an open position to a closed position. (However, Teshima teaches of an HIS, which comprises CPU 20 that monitors the display to determine its location through the BIOS software, COL. COL. 4, lines 41 44).
- 10. As to claims 7 and 8, Cho does not explicitly disclose the method of claim 2 including generating an interrupt when the display moves from the open position to the closed position. (However, Teshima teaches of generating a detect signal that is sent to the CPU 21, in order to interrupt the present operation, COL. 6, lines 7 8).
- 11. As to claim 9, Cho discloses the method including determining if the IHS is docked to the docking device prior to notifying the operating system that undocking is

Art Unit: 2111

requested. (Cho teaches of checking the status of the IHS prior to notifying the operating system, 402 of figure 4).

- 12. As to claim 10, Cho discloses the method including notifying the operating system that the display is closed without requesting undocking if the IHS is not docked to the docking device. (Cho teaches that CPU 20 monitors the location of the display through switch 17, and notifies the OS regardless of the docking status of the HIS, COL. 6, lines 7 14).
- 13. As to claim 11, Cho discloses an information handling system (IHS) comprising: a processor; (CPU 20 of figure 1)

a memory coupled to the processor; (DRAM 22 of figure 1)

Teshima teaches of movable between an open position and a closed position;
(Display 12 of figure 1)

a docking port, coupled to the processor; (Cho teaches of connector port 104 of figure 1)

for receiving a docking device; The docking station interface 103 comprises the components to couple said docking port thus receiving a docking device, figure 1)

Teshima teaches of nonvolatile storage (ROM 22), coupled to the processor (CPU 21), and including executable code for monitoring a display closed signal to determine when the display is moved from the open position to the closed position and for initiating an undocking request when the display closed signal indicates that the display

Application/Control Number: 10/616,063

Art Unit: 2111

has been moved from an open position to a closed position. (Teshima teaches of ROM 22 that comprises programs for operating CPU 21 in its entirety, COL. 4, lines 41 – 44).

Page 6

- 14. As to claim 12, Cho discloses the IHS of claim 11 wherein the executable code tests to determine if the IHS is coupled to the docking device. (Cho teaches that the software controls the operation of the hardware, which would comprise testing if the IHS is coupled to the docking device, COL. 2, lines 40 44).
- 15. As to claim 13, Cho does not explicitly disclose the IHS including an operating system which is supplied the undocking request when the display closed signal indicates that the display is moved from an open position to the closed position provided the IHS is docked to a docking station. (However, Teshima teaches of CPU 21 controlled by software contained in ROM 22, RAM 23, and application 24, which would indicate OS presence, COL. 4, lines 27 37).
- 16. As to claim 16, Cho discloses the IHS of claim 12 including a base unit in which the processor, memory, docking port and nonvolatile storage are housed. (Cho teaches of a base unit in figure 1 illustrating a notebook computer 101, that comprises processor 20, memory 22, nonvolatile storage and docking port in docking station interface 103).
- 17. As to claim 17, Cho does not explicitly disclose the IHS of claim 16 wherein the display pivots about the base unit from the open position to the closed position.

Art Unit: 2111

(However, Teshima teaches in figure 1 of an IHS with base unit 11, wherein display 12 pivots around said base).

- 18. As to claim 18, Cho does not explicitly disclose the IHS of claim 16 wherein the base unit includes a display closed switch, which controls the display closed signal that indicates when the display moves from the open position to the closed position. (However, Teshima teaches of switch 17 that indicate when display 12 moves from an open to a closed position, COL. 4, lines 13 23).
- 19. As to claim 20, Cho does not explicitly disclose the IHS of claim 11 including a controller for monitoring the display closed signal. (However, Teshima teaches of CPU 21 monitoring the display closed signal, COL. 4, lines 19 22).
- 20. As to claim 21, Cho discloses an apparatus for undocking an information handling system (IHS) comprising:

a docking device; (Docking station 102 of figure 1)

an IHS docked to the docking device; (notebook computer 101 of figure 1)

Cho does not disclose a display included in the IHS being movable between an open position and a closed position; (However, Teshima teaches of said display in figure 1, ... COL. 4, lines 13 – 16)

and the IHS including: means for sensing when the display moves from the open position to the closed position; (However Teshima teaches of a detector to sensing display movement, COL. 4, lines 13 – 16)

Application/Control Number: 10/616,063

Art Unit: 2111

and

means for initiating an undocking request in response to a sensing that the display has moved from the open position to the closed position. (However Teshima teaches of CPU 21 monitoring said detector and used to initiate a power saving mode such as undocking said IHS, COL. 4, lines 23 – 26).

Conclusion

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Daley whose telephone number is 571 272 3625. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am. - 4p m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 571 272 3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAD 5/11/05 TIMVO PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8